Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	ary	10/076,041	CHATTERJEE, INDU BHUSAN
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Dionne Walls Mayes	1731
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Dionne Walls Mayes</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Andrew Vlahos</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 October 2005		Time:	
Type of Interview:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: Those of record, namely 1,61,67,83 and 89			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.		·	
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
(Everying v/CDE Circusture)	Ammilia di	Annilianada Danasa (1. 1. C.)	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – it appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner asked Applicant's representative, Mr. Andrew Vlahos, if changes to claims 1,83 and 89 could be made for clarification purposes, only, and to eliminate any potential antecedent basis issues. Also, it was decided that claims 61 and 67 should be canceled to avoid any duplication of claim terminology. All changes were agreed to and such is reflected in the attached Examiner's amendment..